

БІОЛОГІЧНІ НАУКИ. ЕКОЛОГІЯ.

ROMAN ROOTS OF THE WARRANTY SYSTEM FOR ANIMAL PHYSICAL DEFECTS

(Dzikowski Andrzej, DVM, LL.M, PhD candidate)
University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland

Latent physical defects of animals sold alive are, in general, of firmly different nature than in other movables or in immovables – they are illnesses [1]. Legal provisions applicable to animals cannot be applied just as to any other sale-objects, because of their specific features as living creatures subject to diseases.

Legal reaction to such facts during the history of humankind, dates back to the ancient Rome [2, 3, 4]. Since then, many various or strictly different regimes of warranty were created (e.g. the ‘German model’ of main defects, the English *caveat emptor* rules, the European consumer law of sales [5]), but the Roman ‘stem’ – or the Aedilician ‘matrix’ [6, 7] or ‘pattern’ – is still present all the general types of warranty for animal physical defects worldwide. Most of the current legislations are – in the analyzed normative area – mixed legal systems [8], based on Roman (or at least romanised) general rules with consumers’ rights, and some, restricted elements of the ‘German’ tradition.

In different countries and legal regimes, the rules of warranty differ *en détail*, however they are parallel *en masse*. This is because most of them descent from the common quell and serve the same purpose.

The discussed Roman model of the responsibility is derived from the law of the curule Aediles, and is based on the *edictum Aedilium curulium de iumentis vendundis* [9, 10], and on the jurisprudence preserved in the Justinian’s *Digest or Pandects* [10]. In its developed stage of the classical period, the seller should was responsible for any defects in the form of *morbi* and *vitia*, which diminish the usefulness of the animal under its proper usage (*usus ministariumque* – functional criterion). Latent defects should be unknown to the buyer in the time of the risk transfer, and detected by him in the period of 6 months. Traditionally, buyer’s rights are recognized as claims *actio redhibitoria* (return of animal and money) and *actio quanti minoris (aestimatoria)*, price reduction). This model also assumes the existence of the seller’s liability for his assurances, both solemnly stipulated and informal (*dicta* and *promissa*).

So-called ‘Roman legal principle’ or ‘model’ of warranty creates the firm buyers’ position, and protects their rights. This can be observed in the civilian, pecuniary responsibility of sellers’: for defects, for features ascertained, for incompliance with the parties’ will or the contractual purpose, for incapacity for normal usage and functional criterion.

Two thousand years have passed, but still the Aedilician redhibitory and price reduction claims are the most commonly used means of warranty [2, 11]. Moreover, they still seem to be sufficient to ensure proper protection of the buyers’ interests. After all, they were created for this very purpose. Roman law can still be used as an inspiration and a formative factor of the common, inter-, and supernational private law.

Sometimes new ideas arise, but do not work better in practice than the elder ones, sometimes it could be enough to return *ad fontes*.

REFERENCES

1. Falkowska A., Odpowiedzialność sprzedawcy z tytułu rękojmi za wady fizyczne rzeczy, Warszawa 2010.
2. Zimmermann R., The Law of Obligations: Roman Foundations of the Civilian Tradition, Oxford 1996.
3. Manna L., Actio redhibitoria e la responsabilità per i vizi della cosa nell'Editto de mancipiis vendundis, Milano 1994.
4. Ortu R., „Aiunt aediles...” Dichiarazioni del venditore e vizi della cosa venduta nell'editto de mancipiis emundis vendundis, Torino 2008.
5. Directive 1999/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 May 1999 on certain aspects of the sale of consumer goods and associated guarantees, EU Official Journal L 171, 07/07/1999, p. 0012-0016.
6. Dajczak W., Znaczenie tradycji prawa rzymskiego dla europejskiej harmonizacji prawa prywatnego, Państwo i Prawo 2/2004.
7. Longchamps de Bérier F., Skargi edylów kurulnych a dyrektywa 1999/44/EC Parlamentu Europejskiego i Rady w sprawie określonych aspektów sprzedaży i gwarancji na dobra konsumpcyjne, Studia Iuridica 44/2005.
8. Evans-Jones R., The actio quanti minoris in mixed legal systems [in] Roman law as Formative of Modern Legal Systems. Studies in Honour of Wiesław Litewski I, ed. J. Sondel et al., Kraków 2003.
9. Gellius A., Noctes Atticae [in] Le Notti attiche IV-V. Introduzione, testo Latino, traduzione e note, ed. F. Cavazza, Bologna 1985.
10. Digesta seu Pandectae Iustiniani Augusti [in] Corpus Iuris Civilis I, ed. Th. Mommsen, P. Krüger, Berlin 1872.
11. Zimmermann R., Roman Law, Contemporary Law, European Law. The Civilian Tradition Today, Oxford 2001.